

DFTT 54/93
 gr-qc/9311029
 October 1993

Quantisation of 2+1 gravity for genus 2

J.E.Nelson and T.Regge

Dipartimento di Fisica Teorica dell'Università - Torino -Italy
 Via Pietro Giuria 1, 10125,Torino, Italy
email: nelson@to.infn.it, telefax 039-11-6707214

In [1,2] we established and discussed the algebra of observables for $2+1$ gravity at both the classical and quantum level, and gave a systematic discussion of the reduction of the expected number of independent observables to $6g - 6(g > 1)$. In this paper the algebra of observables for the case $g = 2$ is reduced to a very simple form. A Hilbert space of state vectors is defined and its representations are discussed using a deformation of the Euler-Gamma function . The deformation parameter θ depends on the cosmological and Planck's constants.

P.A.C.S. 04.60

1. Introduction.

In a previous article [1] we presented the abstract quantum algebra for $2+1$ gravity with cosmological constant Λ :

$$(a_{mk}, a_{jl}) = (a_{mj}, a_{kl}) = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

$$(a_{jk}, a_{km}) = \left(\frac{1}{K} - 1\right)(a_{jm} - a_{jk}a_{km}) \quad (1.2)$$

$$(a_{jk}, a_{kl}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{K}\right)(a_{jl} - a_{kl}a_{jk}) \quad (1.3)$$

$$(a_{jk}, a_{lm}) = \left(K - \frac{1}{K}\right)(a_{jl}a_{km} - a_{kl}a_{jm}) \quad (1.4)$$

where $K = \frac{4\alpha - ih}{4\alpha + ih} = e^{i\theta}$, $\Lambda = -\frac{1}{3\alpha^2}$ is the cosmological constant and h is Planck's constant. In (1.1-4) m, j, l, k are 4 anticlockwise points of Fig.1. $m, j, l, k = 1 \cdots n$, and the time independent quantum operators a_{lk} correspond to the classical $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ gauge invariant trace elements

$$\alpha_{ij} = \alpha_{ji} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left(S(t_i t_{i+1} \cdots t_{j-1}) \right), \quad S \in SL(2, R) \quad (1.5)$$

For $n = 2g + 2$ the map $S : \pi_1(\Sigma) \xrightarrow{S} SL(2, R)$ is defined by the integrated anti-De Sitter connection in the initial data Riemann surface Σ of genus g , and refers to one of the two spinor components, say the upper component, of the spinor group $SL(2, R) \otimes SL(2, R)$ of the gauge group $SO(2, 2)$ of 2+1 gravity with negative cosmological constant [2]. The algebra (1.1-4) is invariant under the quantum action of the mapping class group on traces [1], the lower component yields an independent algebra of traces b_{ij} identical to (1.1-4) but with $K \rightarrow 1/K$. Moreover $(a_{ij}, b_{kl}) = 0 \quad \forall i, j, k, l$. Here we discuss only the upper component. The homotopy group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ of the surface is defined by generators t_i , $i = 1 \cdots 2g + 2$ and presentation:

$$t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{2g+2} = 1, \quad t_1 t_3 \cdots t_{2g+1} = 1, \quad t_2 t_4 \cdots t_{2g+2} = 1 \quad (1.6)$$

The first relator in (1.6) implies that Σ is closed. The operators in (1.1-4) are ordered with the convention that $t(a_{ij})$ is increasing from left to right where $t(a_{ij}) = \frac{(i-1)(2n-2-i)}{2} + j - 1$.

The case of $g = 1$, the torus, has been studied extensively, both in this approach [2], and others [3,4]. In this approach the algebra (1.1-4) is isomorphic to the quantum algebra of $SU(2)_q$ when $\Lambda \neq 0$ [2]. For $\Lambda = 0$ it has been shown [5] that the metric approach to determining the complex modulus of the torus [3] is classically equivalent to the classical limit of (1.1-4) for $n = 4$. There are similar, recent results for $\Lambda \neq 0$ [6].

For $g > 1$ there are very few results apart from those of Moncrief [3] who studies the second order, metric formalism and achieves very general results. In this article the case $g = 2$ of the algebra (1.1-4) is studied in detail. In [7] we determined for $n \leq 6$, i.e. $g \leq 2$ a set of p linearly independent central elements A_{nm} , $m = 1 \cdots p$ where $n = 2p$ or $n = 2p + 1$, and analysed the trace identities which follow from the presentation (1.5) of the homotopy group $\pi_1(\Sigma)$ and a set of rank identities. These identities together generate a two-sided ideal. For generic g there are precisely $6g - 6$ independent elements which satisfy the algebra (1.1-4). The reduction from

$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} = (g+1)(2g+1)$ to $6g-6$ results from the use of the above mentioned identities [7] but is highly non unique. For $g=2$ the reduction from the original 15 elements a_{ij} to 6 independent elements has been the subject of a long study. Here this reduction is implemented explicitly in terms of a set of 6 independent operators which satisfy a particularly simple algebra. There are many such possibilities but a convincing set is described as follows:

We group the vertices of the hexagon into 3 sectors, see Fig.2, the vertices labeled $2b$ and $2b-1$ belonging to the sector b , $b = 1 \cdots 3$. Accordingly we define the sector function $s[2b] = s[2b-1] = b$. A convenient choice for the 6 independent elements is given by 3 commuting angles $\varphi_{-b} = -\varphi_b$, $b = \pm 1 \cdots \pm 3$ defined by:

$$a_{2b-1,2b} = \frac{\cos \varphi_b}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}} \quad b = 1 \cdots 3 \quad (1.7)$$

and commuting operators M_{ab} with the properties:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{ab} &= M_{ba} \quad a, b = \pm 1 \cdots \pm 3 \\ M_{a,-a} &= 1, \quad M_{a,-b} M_{b,c} = M_{ac} \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

The M_{ab} act as raising and lowering operators on the φ_a :

$$M_{\pm a,b} \varphi_a = (\varphi_a \mp \theta) M_{\pm a,b} \quad (1.9)$$

It can be checked that the 12 remaining a_{ik} are represented by:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{kj} &= \frac{1}{K+1} K^{\frac{\tilde{k}+\tilde{j}}{2}+1} \sum_{n,m=\pm 1} \exp(-i(n(\tilde{k}+1)\varphi_a + m\tilde{j}\varphi_b)) \times \\ &\times \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a+m\varphi_b+\varphi_c}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a+m\varphi_b-\varphi_c}{2}\right)}{\sin(n\varphi_a) \sin(m\varphi_b)} M_{na,mb} \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

where we set $\tilde{k} = k \bmod 2 + \frac{1}{2}$ and $a = s(k)$, $b = s(j)$, a, b, c in cyclical order. Under these conditions the a_{ik} satisfy the trace and rank identities. These identities can all be derived from:

$$a_{12}a_{34} + K^{-2}a_{23}a_{14} - K^{-1}a_{13}a_{24} - a_{56} = 0 \quad (1.11)$$

by repeated commutation with the elements of the algebra (1.1-4). For example two useful identities are:

$$K^3 a_{12} a_{46} + K a_{24} a_{16} - K(1 + K^3) a_{34} a_{45} - K^2 a_{14} a_{26} + (1 - K + K^2) a_{35} = 0 \quad (1.12)$$

$$(1 + K^3)((1 + K) a_{34} a_{56} a_{45} - K a_{34} a_{46} - a_{56} a_{35}) + \\ + K^2 a_{14} a_{25} - K^3 a_{12} a_{45} - K a_{24} a_{15} + K(1 + K^2 - K) a_{36} = 0$$

and their images under cyclical permutations of the indices $1 \cdots 6$. These identities are certainly not all independent. By heavy use of computer algebra we were able to show that (1.11-12) and their images follow from (1.7-10).

The relations (1.8-9) follows from the single sector factorisation for all $a, b = \pm 1 \cdots \pm 3$:

$$M_{ab} = M_a M_b = M_{ba} = M_b M_a \quad (1.13)$$

$$M_{-a} = M_a^{-1} \quad (1.14)$$

$$M_{\pm a} \varphi_a = (\varphi_a \mp \theta) M_{\pm a} \quad (1.15)$$

It is clear that (1.13-15) can be formally satisfied by setting $M_a = \exp\left(-\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_a}\right)$ and therefore $M_{ab} = \exp\left(-\theta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_a} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi_b}\right)\right)$, in turn (1.10) becomes:

$$a_{kj} = \frac{1}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} \sum_{n,m=\pm 1} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b + \varphi_c}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b - \varphi_c}{2}\right)}{\sin n\varphi_a \sin m\varphi_b} \times \\ \times \exp\left(-i(n(\tilde{k} + 1)\varphi_a + m\tilde{j}\varphi_b + \theta(np_a + mp_b))\right) \quad (1.16)$$

where we have used the Baker-Hausdorff formula [8]:

$$\exp A \exp B = \exp\left(A + B + \frac{AB - BA}{2}\right) = \exp(AB - BA) \exp B \exp A \quad (1.17)$$

valid when $AB - BA$ is a C -number and $M_a = \exp(-i\theta p_a)$. Note that, from (1.7) and (1.16), all of the 15 original a_{ij} are expressed in terms of the 3 angles φ_a and their conjugate momenta p_a .

The treatment of (1.16) can be further simplified by noting that $a_{kj} = U_{\tilde{k}\tilde{j}}^{-1} A_{ab} U_{\tilde{k}\tilde{j}}$ where:

$$U_{\tilde{k}\tilde{j}} = \exp \left(i \frac{(\tilde{k} + 1)\varphi_a^2 + \tilde{j}\varphi_b^2}{2\theta} \right) \quad (1.18)$$

$$A_{ab} = \frac{1}{2 \cos \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right)} \sum_{n,m=\pm 1} \frac{\sin \left(\frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b + \varphi_c}{2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b - \varphi_c}{2} \right)}{\sin n\varphi_a \sin m\varphi_b} \times \\ \times \exp \left(-i\theta(np_a + mp_b) \right)$$

A_{ab} is an operator which is a function of the sectors a, b only and is independent of the position of k, j within a, b .

The discussion of the representations of (1.13-15) is considerably simplified by the introduction of the deformed Euler Gamma-function $\Gamma(z, \theta)$ (see Appendix for the definition and a list of properties) which extends to the complex domain the symbol:

$$[n]! = \prod_{p=1}^n \frac{\sin \frac{p\theta}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \quad (1.19)$$

In particular $\Gamma(n+1, \theta) = [n]!$ and $\Gamma(z, \theta)$ is a meromorphic analytic function of z with poles at $z = -s - \frac{2\pi r}{\theta}$, $s, r \geq 0$ and integer and zeroes at $z = s + \frac{2\pi r}{\theta}$, $s, r \geq 1$ and integer.

2. Representations.

The a_{ij} expressed by (1.7) are by definition all hermitian operators. We denote by ϕ_a the generic eigenvalue of the operator φ_a and set $\phi = \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3\}$, $z_a = \cos \phi_a$, $z = \{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ where the z_a are real and restricted to a domain $D^3 \subset R^3$. Let T with $T^2 = 1$ be the antilinear conjugacy operator $\Psi(z) \xrightarrow{T} \Psi^*(z)$. A measure $\sigma(z)d^3z$ with $\sigma(z) \geq 0$ and real turns H into a Hilbert space H with norm:

$$|\Psi|^2 = \int_{D^3} |\Psi(z)|^2 \sigma(z) d^3z \quad (2.1)$$

The weight function $\sigma(z)$ can be determined from the hermiticity of the a_{ij} (1.7) as follows.

Let $p_a = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_a}$, $a = 1, 2, 3$ satisfying the CCR:

$$(\varphi_a, \varphi_b) = 0, (\varphi_a, p_b) = i\delta_{ab}, (p_a, p_b) = 0, a, b, = 1, 2, 3 \quad (2.2)$$

it follows by conjugation that:

$$(\varphi_a^\dagger, \varphi_b^\dagger) = 0, (\varphi_a^\dagger, p_b^\dagger) = i\delta_{ab}, (p_a^\dagger, p_b^\dagger) = 0, a, b, = 1, 2, 3 \quad (2.3)$$

but also that

$$\varphi_a^\dagger = T\varphi_a T, a_{2a, 2a-1} = Ta_{2a, 2a-1}T, a = 1, 2, 3 \quad (2.4)$$

The hermiticity relation between $O, O^\dagger$ namely $\langle \Psi, O\Phi \rangle^* = \langle O^\dagger \Psi, \Phi \rangle$ implies $\left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}\right)^\dagger = -i\sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}\sigma$. But $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a} = \frac{-1}{\sin\phi_a}\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_a}$ whereby:

$$\begin{aligned} p_a^\dagger &= \left(-i\frac{\partial}{\partial\phi_a}\right)^\dagger = \left(i\sin\phi_a\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}\right)^\dagger = i\sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}\sigma \sin\phi_a^\dagger = \\ &= i\sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}\sigma T \sin\phi_a T = -iT\sigma^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}\sigma \sin\phi_a T = -T\rho^{-1}p_a\rho T \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $\rho(\phi) = C \sin\phi_1 \sin\phi_2 \sin\phi_3 \sigma(z)$, C being a normalization constant, the operator $\rho = \rho(\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3) = \rho(\varphi)$ is now to be determined by extending (2.4) to all i, k as $a_{ik} = a_{ik}^\dagger = Ta_{ik}T$.

From (1.16-18) we obtain by conjugation:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{kj} &= \frac{1}{2\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} U_{\tilde{k}\tilde{j}}^\dagger \sum_{n,m=\pm 1} \exp\left(i\theta(np_a^\dagger + mp_b^\dagger)\right) \times \\ &\quad \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a^\dagger + m\varphi_b^\dagger + \varphi_c^\dagger}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a^\dagger + m\varphi_b^\dagger - \varphi_c^\dagger}{2}\right)}{\sin n\varphi_a^\dagger \sin m\varphi_b^\dagger} U_{\tilde{k}\tilde{j}}^{-1, \dagger} \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

We apply now [1.17] and reorder the operators in (2.6) by bringing the exponential factor to the right thus finding:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{kj} &= \frac{1}{2\cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} U_{\tilde{k}\tilde{j}}^\dagger \sum_{n,m=\pm 1} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{5\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a^\dagger + m\varphi_b^\dagger + \varphi_c^\dagger}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{5\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a^\dagger + m\varphi_b^\dagger - \varphi_c^\dagger}{2}\right)}{\sin(n\varphi_a^\dagger + \theta) \sin(m\varphi_b^\dagger + \theta)} \times \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(i\theta(np_a^\dagger + mp_b^\dagger)\right) U_{\tilde{k}\tilde{j}}^{-1, \dagger} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{kj} = & \frac{1}{2 \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)} T U_{\tilde{k}\tilde{j}}^{-1} \sum_{n,m=\pm 1} \frac{\sin\left(\frac{5\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b + \varphi_c}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{5\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b - \varphi_c}{2}\right)}{\sin(n\varphi_a + \theta) \sin(m\varphi_b + \theta)} \times \\
& \times \exp\left(i\theta(np_a + mp_b)\right) U_{\tilde{k}\tilde{j}} T
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

We define the maps:

$$\phi_a, \phi_b, \phi_c \xrightarrow{\Delta(na, mb)} \phi_a + n\theta, \phi_b + m\theta, \phi_c \tag{2.8}$$

where as before n, m take all values ± 1 and a, b, c are any permutation of $1, 2, 3$. From $a_{ik} = a_{ik}^\dagger = T a_{ik} T$ and by comparing (2.7) with (1.16) we find the recursion relation in the eigenvalues ϕ, z :

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta(na, mb) \sigma(z_1, z_2, z_3) = & \sigma(z_1, z_2, z_3) \times \\
& \times \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{4} - \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b + \varphi_c}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\theta}{4} - \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b - \varphi_c}{2}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{5\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b + \varphi_c}{2}\right) \sin\left(\frac{5\theta}{4} + \frac{n\varphi_a + m\varphi_b - \varphi_c}{2}\right)}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

A solution of (2.9) is then provided by:

$$\sigma(z_1, z_2, z_3) = P(\phi) \prod_{m_1 m_2 m_3 = \pm 1} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{q\pi}{\theta} + \frac{m_1 \phi_1 + m_2 \phi_2 + m_3 \phi_3}{2\theta}, 2\theta\right) \tag{2.10}$$

where q is arbitrary and integer and $P(\phi)$ is invariant under (2.8), otherwise arbitrary, in (2.10) the product is carried on all independent sign choices of m_1, m_2, m_3 .

By using (A.7) we see that:

$$E(\phi, \theta, q+1) = S(\phi) E(\phi, \theta, q)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned}
S(\phi) = & 2^8 (2 \sin \theta)^{-\frac{8\pi}{\theta}} \prod_{m_1, m_2, m_3 = \pm 1} \sin \pi \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{q\pi}{\theta} + \frac{m_1 \phi_1 + m_2 \phi_2 + m_3 \phi_3}{2\theta} \right) \\
E(\phi, \theta, q) = & \prod_{m_1, m_2, m_3 = \pm 1} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{q\pi}{\theta} + \frac{m_1 \phi_1 + m_2 \phi_2 + m_3 \phi_3}{2\theta}, 2\theta\right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

Since $S(\phi)$ is invariant under (2.8) it can be absorbed into $P(\varphi)$ hence the appearance of q does not signal any new arbitrariness. It is however convenient in our discussion to have a solution which depends explicitly on q .

The function $\rho(\phi)$ is periodic of period 2π and odd in ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 if we have (see (2.10) and (A.7)):

$$\frac{\rho(\phi_1 + 2\pi, \phi_2, \phi_3)}{\rho(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)} = \frac{P(\phi_1 + 2\pi, \phi_2, \phi_3)}{P(\phi_1, \phi_2, \phi_3)} \times \prod_{m_2 m_3 = \pm 1} \frac{\sin \pi \left(-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{q\pi}{\theta} + \frac{\phi_1 + m_2 \phi_2 + m_3 \phi_3}{2\theta} \right)}{\sin \pi \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{(q-1)\pi}{\theta} + \frac{\phi_1 + m_2 \phi_2 + m_3 \phi_3}{2\theta} \right)} = 1$$

This be achieved by setting

$$\theta = \frac{2q-1}{2t+1} 2\pi \quad , \quad t \text{ integer} \quad (2.12)$$

and $P(\varphi) = 1$.

We list here the basic properties of $E(\phi, \theta, q)$:

- 1). $E(\phi, \theta, q)$ is even in each of the ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 .
- 2). $E(\phi, \theta, q)$ is periodic of period 2π in each of the ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 .
- 3). $E(\phi, \theta, q)$ is real but not necessarily positive for ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 all real. It follows by analytic continuation that $E(\phi^\dagger, \theta, q) = E(\phi, \theta, q)^\dagger$.
- 4). $E(\phi, \theta, q)$ is real and positive if at least one of the ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 is imaginary and the others real. This follows from the possibility of arranging (2.11) in pairs of conjugate factors.

In this case we may choose $\sigma(z) = E(\phi, \theta, q)$. The discussion of the positivity of the function $\sigma(z)$ for arbitrary z is rather involved. A particular solution is provided by restricting all z_a to the hyperbolic domain $z_a > 1$, i.e. all ϕ_a pure imaginary. In this case all a_{kj} from (1.7) and (1.16) are represented by unbounded hermitian operators. This, and the inclusion of the other $SL(2, R)$ component, will be discussed elsewhere [9].

Appendix.

Here we give the definition and a comprehensive list of properties of the deformed Euler Gamma function:

$$\Gamma(z, \theta) = \left(\frac{\theta}{2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}} \right)^{z-1} \Gamma(z) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\theta}{2\pi n} \right)^{2z-1} \frac{\Gamma(z + \frac{2\pi n}{\theta})}{\Gamma(1 - z + \frac{2\pi n}{\theta})} \quad (A.1)$$

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0} \Gamma(z, \theta) = \Gamma(z) \quad (A.2)$$

$$\Gamma(1, \theta) = 1 \quad (A.3)$$

$$\Gamma(z+1, \theta) = \Gamma(z, \theta) \frac{\sin \frac{\theta z}{2}}{\sin \frac{\theta}{2}}, \quad \Gamma(n+1, \theta) = [n]! \quad n \text{ integer} > 0 \quad (A.4)$$

$$\Gamma\left(z + \frac{2\pi}{\theta}, \theta\right) = 2 \sin(\pi z) \left(2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{-\frac{2\pi}{\theta}} \Gamma(z, \theta) \quad (A.5)$$

$$\Gamma(z, \theta) \Gamma(1 - z, \theta) = \frac{2\pi \sin \frac{\theta}{2}}{\theta \sin(\pi z)} \quad (A.6)$$

$$\Gamma(z, \theta) \Gamma\left(\frac{2\pi}{\theta} - z, \theta\right) = \frac{\pi}{\theta \sin \frac{\theta z}{2}} \left(2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{2 - \frac{2\pi}{\theta}} \quad (A.7)$$

$$\Gamma(z, \theta) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{2\pi}{\theta} - z, \theta\right) = \frac{2\pi}{\theta} \left(2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{1 - \frac{2\pi}{\theta}} \quad (A.8)$$

Setting $\theta' = \frac{4\pi^2}{\theta}$ we have the duality property:

$$\Gamma(z, \theta) = \Gamma\left(\frac{\theta z}{2\pi}, \theta'\right) \left(2 \sin \frac{\theta'}{2}\right)^{\frac{z\theta}{2\pi} - 1} \left(2 \sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{1 - z} \frac{\theta'}{2\pi} \quad (A.9)$$

(A.9) is meaningless in the limit $\theta \rightarrow 0$ and therefore the standard Euler Gamma function $\Gamma(z)$ has no dual symmetry. From (A.9) it follows that the function $\Gamma(z, a, b) = a\Gamma\left(bz, \frac{2\pi a}{b}\right) \left(2 \sin \frac{\pi a}{b}\right)^{bz-1}$ is symmetrical i.e $\Gamma(z, a, b) = \Gamma(z, b, a)$. Duality exchanges (A.4) with (A.5) and (A.6) with (A.7).

References.

- [1] J.E.Nelson, T.Regge, Phys.Lett. **B272**, (1991)213.
- [2] J.E.Nelson, T.Regge, F.Zertuche: Nucl.Phys. **B339**, (1990)516: F. Zertuche, Ph.D.Thesis, SISSA (1990), unpublished.

- [3] V.Moncrief, J.Math.Phys. **30**,(1989)2907.
- [4] A.Hosoya and K.Nakao, Class.Qu.Grav. **7**,(1990)163.
- [5] S.Carlip, Phys.Rev. **D42**,(1990)2647.
- [6] S.Carlip and J.E.Nelson, DFTT 67/93 and UCD-93-33, submitted Phys. Lett. **B**.
- [7] J.E.Nelson, T.Regge, C.M.P.**155**,(1993)561.
- [8] See e.g. A.O.Barut and R.Raczka, Theory of group representations and Applications, World Scientific (Singapore 1986) p.588.
- [9] J.E. Nelson and T.Regge, in preparation.

Fig.1

Fig.2.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/gr-qc/9311029v1>